Semester Design Project

# **CEE 4600 Transportation Planning and Design**

Section D3, Group 4

# Submitted to:

Dr. James Tsai & Lucas Yu

**by:** Zhiwen Wei Freyja A Brandel-Tanis Ryan Foster

Due Date: April 16, 2020

# **ZRF Engineering**

*3000 Atlantic Drive Atlanta, GA 30332* February 20, 2020

Dr. James Tsai Georgia Institute of Technology School of Civil and Environmental Engineering 790 Atlantic Dr. Atlanta, GA 30332

Dear Dr. Tsai,

Accompanying this letter is the final design for the project that you requested on the Navy Ave Extension. The report provides an analysis of all three featured intersections and their signalization; the horizontal curvature and necessary superelevation of the road; the vertical curvature of the road; cross sections for typical sections, a horizontal curve, and the bridge; signage and markings at intersections, and how the mixed-use path along the Navy Ave extension connects to the mixed-use path that runs along the railway under the bridge.

Our design team used lecture slides, the MUTCD, AASHTO Green Book, AASHTO Guide to the Development of Bicycle Facilities, various GDOT manuals, and USDOT's Rails with Trails: Lessons Learned report to produce a compliant design that will serve the existing and future communities of the design area. The report includes an executive summary of the design, a procedural overview, checklist components, and concludes with a discussion on lessons learned throughout the design process. In addition, the report includes an appendix with reports, CAD drawings, supplementary tables, and further notes on the process.

If you have any comments or questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Zhiwen Wei zwei79@gatech.edu Freyja A Brandel-Tanis freyja.alice@gatech.edu Ryan Foster rfoster38@gatech.edu

/s Zhiwen Wei

J.M.

/s Ryan Foster

# Table of Contents

	List	of Fig	gures	V
	List	of Tal	bles	v
	List	of Eq	uations	vi
1	Ех	xecuti	ive Summary	1-1
2	In	ıtrodu	action	2-1
3	Pr	roced	ure	3-1
	3.1	Inte	ersection Layout	3-1
	3.2	Ног	rizontal Curve	3-2
	3.3	Ver	rtical Curve	3-2
	3.4	Cro	oss Section	3-3
	3.5	Sig	ning and Marking	3-3
4	Si	gnaliz	zation and Intersection Control	4-1
	4.1	Sur	nmary	4-1
	4.2	Inte	ersection Analysis	4-1
	4.	2.1	Navy Ave and Gold St	4-1
	4.	2.2	Navy Ave and Driveway	4-3
	4.	2.3	Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St	4-4
	4.3	Tur	rn Bay Analysis	4-6
	4.	3.1	Navy Ave and Gold St	4-6
	4.	3.2	Navy Ave and Driveway	4-6
	4.	3.3	Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St	4-7
5	H	orizoi	ntal Alignment and Superelevation	5-1
	5.1	Sur	nmary	5-1
	5.2	Cer	nterline Schematic of Entire Route	

	5.3	Horizontal Alignment Sample Calculations for Curve 1	5-2
	5.4	Superelevation Calculations	5-3
6	Ve	rtical Alignment	6-1
	6.1	Summary	6-1
	6.2	Schematic of Entire Route	6-1
	6.3	Vertical Sample Calculations	6-2
	6.4	Bridge Schematic	6-4
7	Cro	oss Sections	7-1
	7.1	Typical Cross Section Summary	7-1
	7.2	Horizontal Curve Cross Section	7-2
	7.3	Bridge Cross Section	7-2
8	Ма	rking and Signage	8-1
	8.1	Summary of Work	8-1
	8.2	Intersection and Roadway Design Plans	8-1
	8.2	.1 Lane reductions	8-2
	8.2	.2 Edge lines	8-2
	8.2	.3 Signage and markings notes	8-2
	8.3	Shared-Use Path Intersection	8-3
9	Co	nclusion	9-1
10	) В	ibliography	
11	A	ppendix	
	11.1	Checklists	
	11.2	Warrant Analysis	
	11.3	HCM Reports	
	11.4	Signage and Markings Tables	

11.5	Drawings	11	-1	.0	i
------	----------	----	----	----	---

# List of Figures

Figure 4-1 AM dual-ring diagram for Navy Ave and Gold St intersection4-2
Figure 4-2 PM dual-ring diagram for Navy Ave and Gold St intersection
Figure 4-3 AM dual-ring diagram for Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St intersection4-5
Figure 4-4 PM dual-ring diagram for Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St intersection4-5
Figure 6-1 Vertical Alignment of proposed and existing terrain
Figure 6-2 Bridge Schematic6-4
Figure 7-1 Typical Cross Section7-2
Figure 7-2 100-ft Horizontal Cross Section (Curve 2)7-2
Figure 7-3 Bridge Cross Section at Station 103+007-3
Figure 11-1 Warrant 1 analysis for White St and Navy Ave/Buzz St intersection
Figure 11-2 Warrant 2 analysis for White St and Navy Ave/Buzz St intersection
Figure 11-3 Warrant 3 analysis for White St and Navy Ave/Buzz St intersection
List of Tables
Table 4-1 Turning movement summary for Navy Ave and Gold St intersection4-1
Table 4-2 Turning movement totals for Navy Ave and Gold St4-1
Table 4-3 Signal timing for Navy and Gold St intersection
Table 4-4 Turning movement summary for Navy Ave and Driveway intersection4-3
Table 4-5 Turning movement totals for Navy Ave and Driveway intersection4-3
Table 4-6 Turning movement summary for Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St intersection.4-4
Table 4-7 Turning movement totals for Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St intersection4-4
Table 4-8 Signal timing for Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St Intersection
Table 5-1 Horizontal curve summary    5-1
Table 5-2 Superelevation summary5-3
Table 6-1 Vertical curve summary6-1
Table 8-1 Turning Radius Requirements8-1
Table 8-2 Curb Return Radius Specifications for Passenger Vehicles
Table 11-1 Navy Ave Signage and Markings at Gold St Intersection

Table 11-2 Gold St. Signage and Markings at Navy Ave Intersection	
Table 11-3 Navy Ave/ Buzz St Signage and Markings at White St Intersection	11-5
Table 11-4 White St Signage and Markings at Navy Ave/Buzz St Intersection	
Table 11-5 Navy Ave Signage and Markings at Driveway Intersection	
Table 11-6 Driveway Signage and Markings at Navy Ave Intersection	
Table 11-7 Multi-Use Path Signage and Markings	
List of Equations	
Equation 5-1 Delta (Curve 1)	5-2
Equation 5-2 Curve Length (Curve 1)	5-2
Equation 5-3 Tangent Length (Curve 1)	5-2
Equation 5-4 Middle Ordinate (Curve 1)	5-3
Equation 5-5 Length of Superelevatuib Runoff (Curve 1)	5-4
Equation 5-6 Length of Tangent Runout (Curve 1)	5-4
Equation 5-7 Beginning of Outside Lane Transition Station (Curve 1)	5-4
Equation 5-8 Outside Lane Crosses 0% Station (Curve 1)	5-4
Equation 5-9 Beginning of Inside Lane Transition Station (Curve 1)	5-4
Equation 5-10 Full Superelevation Station (Curve 1)	5-4
Equation 6-1 Algebraic Difference Between Grades (Curve 5)	6-2
Equation 6-2 Minimum Vertical Curve Length if S <l (curve="" 5)<="" td=""><td>6-2</td></l>	6-2
Equation 6-3 Minimum Vertical Curve Length if S>L (Curve 5)	6-2
Equation 6- 4 PVC Station (Curve 5)	6-3
Equation 6-6PVC Elevation (Curve 5)	6-3
Equation 6-7 PVT Station (Curve 5)	6-3
Equation 6-8 PVT Elevation (Curve 5)	6-3
Equation 8-1 Navy Ave lane reduction taper length	8-2
Equation 8-2 Gold St lane reduction taper length	8-2

# 1 Executive Summary

This report describes the design of the Navy avenue extension from Gold street to White and Buzz streets. The objective of the extension is to relieve the lengthy detour from the current 3-way intersection of Gold Street and Navy Avenue. The extension is designed to provide enough capacity for the vehicle volume demand in a cost-effective way. Maintaining the safety and comfort of drivers is the primary goal of the design.

A full set of signal warrant analysis, left turn phase analysis, and turn bay analysis for all three intersection are included in this report.

There are two proposed horizontal curves with radius of 500ft, all of which used a more conservative radius of curvature than the minimum that is required to increase driver comfort. Superelevation designs are included in this report and essential stations are shown in the horizontal alignment plan.

The vertical alignment spans from an elevation of 930ft to 962.11ft over a total of 12 curves. Landscape curvature was obtained by interpolating the stations at elevations between contour lines. The decision was made to make all horizontal curves and intersections on level ground rather than coordinate the vertical and horizontal curves and to facilitate sight distance. The bridge is designed to slightly exceed the minimum clearance of 25ft, and a standard it clears for 50ft.

The typical cross section of road has a U-shaped median with a slope of 1:6. The shared-use path has a 12ft width with 2' 6% cross-slope shoulders on each side. There are 5ft buffer zones on each side of the trail, creating necessary space between pedestrians and vehicles the slope of the terrain. The cross sections plans are included in this report and the conform to a 175ft right-of-way for the length of the project, with the exception of an expanded 220ft right-of-way for the bridge.

The proposed roadway ties into the multi-use path that runs along the railroad, at-grade. The proposed connection between the Navy avenue extension and the multi-use path is included in this report.

Signing and marking plans for all three intersections are included in this report. All signings and markings satisfy the MUTCD guidelines.

# 2 Introduction

The Gold street and Navy avenue intersection and the White street and Buzz street intersection are not directly connected although both are used heavily, especially during peak hours. Drivers attempting to travel between the two intersections currently must travel over 10,000 ft. To provide an efficient route, the extension of Navy avenue has been proposed.

The proposed roadway goes over a railroad track that transverses the land in N-S direction. The mixed-use path along the proposed roadway allows pedestrian and bicycle traffic to connect to and enjoy the convenience of an existing N-S trail and shorten their travel distance between the intersections.

Our team focused on designing the roadway alignment that is cost-effective and supports the current traffic demands. Furthermore, in consideration of the safety and comfort of the drivers on the roadway, conservative measures in determining sight distance, horizontal curve components such as the radius, degree, and the length of the curve, and vertical curve components such as curve length and tangent grades are taken. This Page Intentionaly Left Blank

# 3 Procedure

#### 3.1 Intersection Layout

In the design of the Navy avenue extension, there were certain requirements that needed to be met. The extension of Navy avenue needed to be designed as a 4-lane urban collector with 12ft lanes. The design speed for the road needed to be 35mph, with a 30mph posted speed limit. The peak hour factor was designated as 0.95, and the percentage of truck was 2%, the maximum rate of superelevation was 6%. The maximum vertical grade is 10%.

In the design of the two signalized intersections, there were additional requirements that needed to be met. For each intersection, the design team was provided hourly vehicle volumes during the AM and PM peak periods. The design of Navy avenue extension needed to accommodate these vehicles with minimum levels of service: E per movement, D per approach, and D per intersection. A 15 minutes analysis period was used for the LoS analysis. The maximum allowable volume to capacity ratio was 0.95, and right turns on red were not allowed. The cycle lengths were all adjusted to the nearest 5 seconds. Through movement phases were required to be long enough for pedestrians to cross safely. Both intersections used pre-timed signal control for all approaches. The design team has prepared a design that satisfies these requirements and ties back into the existing conditions.

For the intersection layout, the design team performed a warrant analysis for each of the three intersection. This analysis was performed using the 9 warrants provided in the chapter 4c of the MUTCD. The result determined whether each intersection would be signalized or unsignalized. The designers used the provided hourly traffic volumes during AM and PM peak periods to determine peak hour. The peak hour volumes were plugged into Synchro, along with other pertinent information. By using Synchro, the intersections layout and signal timing were adjusted to achieve the requirements. Once a satisfactory layout was achieved, a dual-ring diagram was drawn, and Synchro reports were created. The design team also used GDOT's left turn phasing policy and FDOT intersection design guide to perform a left turn phase analysis and turn bay analysis.

3-1

### 3.2 Horizontal Curve

To design the horizontal alignment for the Navy avenue extension, the designs first roughly sketched where the roadway would go. The alignment was chosen on several factors. It was important that the roadway did not encroach on the protected habitat area. And, the roadway connected to the driveway of the future development sites.

After having a rough idea of where the Navy avenue extension would go, the team performed calculations to determine the roadway's specific geometry. Based on the rough sketch, it was determined that the Navy avenue extension would have 2 curves. For safety purpose, the designers decided to have a radius of 500ft, which is larger than the minimum requirement. The team drew the horizontal alignment in AutoCAD, using the chosen horizontal curve radii along with stations. The chosen radii provided the necessary information to determine the actual superelevation for each curve. With AutoCAD, the team measured the curve data and used WolframAlpha to calculate the delta, tangent length, curve length, PI, PC, and PT for each curve.

### 3.3 Vertical Curve

For the vertical curve design, the existing ground was roughly sketched by identifying essential stations the crossed the contour lines, and the midpoints between contour lines. Linear interpolation filled in the unknown elevations, providing a satisfactory level of detail to understand the terrain enough for the project's sake. The stations and rough elevation for the railroad was marked on the existing ground sketches. There were a few requirements for the vertical alignment such as minimum bridge clearance of 25 ft, 50ft bridge span, and maximum vertical grade of 10%.

In designing the bridge, the design team elected to use a three-curve bridge to provide a crisp architectural profile to the valley. A designer created a layout of the railroad and pathway beneath the proposed bridge, relying on AASHTO guidelines and USDOT recommendations for trail placement relative to railroads. The layout determined the 50ft required clearance area, marking the stations where the bridge must exceed 25ft. Those

stations could not be the PVC and PVT and allow for the desired 6% grade on the bridge, so the PVC and PVT were found using the PVI station as the midpoint of the 50ft span.

### 3.4 Cross Section

The team designed a typical cross section and used it as a template for the other models. To create the cross sections for the horizontal alignment, the team first determined the elevations of the existing and proposed ground using the vertical alignment profile. These elevations were determined for every 100ft station and at important key stations in the superelevation transition. The difference between the proposed and existing elevations was used to determine if a station would be a cut or a fill condition. The process for creating the cross sections for the vertical curves was similar. Similarly, the design team used proposed and existing elevations to determine the layout for every 100ft station, the PVC and PVT stations, and the middle of the bridge.

# 3.5 Signing and Marking

To create the signing and marking plans, the design team used the MUTCD. Using the intersection layouts developed previously, the team determined which signs and pavement marking would be needed. Using the AutoCAD drawing of each intersection, the team determined and labeled the correct turn radii and sign stations.

This Page Intentionaly Left Blank

# 4 Signalization and Intersection Control

### 4.1 Summary

Cumulative warrant analyses result in pretimed signals at the Navy Ave/ Gold St and Navy Ave/Buzz St/White St intersections and two-way stop control at Navy Ave/Driveway, with Navy Ave freely flowing. All intersections, approaches, and movements meet or exceed the level of service requirements, as seen in Appendix 11.3. Intersection Schematics are available in Appendix Exhibit 11.5.29 through Exhibit 11.5.32

4.2 Intersection Analysis

#### 4.2.1 Navy Ave and Gold St

#### 4.2.1.1 Warrant analysis

For the Navy Ave and Gold Street intersection, Warrants 1 through 3 are met, because there is no pedestrian data so Warrants 4 and 5 could not be applied, Warrant 6 does not apply because there the intersection is not a part of a coordinated signal system, and no available accident or projection data is provided in order to apply for Warrants 7 and 8, and there is no at-grade railroad crossing so Warrant 9 is also not eligible. Therefore, the Navy Ave and Gold St intersection will be using a pre-timed traffic signal.

The more detailed warrant analysis can be found in Appendix Figure 11-1 through Figure 11-3.

#### 4.2.1.2 Peak hour turning movement volumes

Table 4-1 Turning movement summary for Navy Ave and Gold St intersection

	Navy Ave at Gold St			Northbound			Southbound E			Eastbound			Westbound		
	Start Time	End Time	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	
AM Peak	7:00 AM	8:00 AM	255	305	275	255	275	250	55	1837	83	77	1392	105	
PM Peak	3:00 PM	4:00 PM	75	275	70	95	305	50	275	1392	281	303	1837	281	

#### Table 4-2 Turning movement totals for Navy Ave and Gold St

	Navy Ave	e at Gold St	Interestion Total
	Start Time	End Time	Intersection Total
AM Peak	7:00 AM	8:00 AM	5164
PM Peak	3:00 PM	4:00 PM	5239

#### 4.2.1.3 Intersection Lane Configuration and Dual Ring Diagram

The diagram showing the designed intersection for Navy Ave and Gold St can be found in Exhibit 11.5.29 and the morning and afternoon dual-ring diagrams can be found in Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2 respectively.

Figure 4-1 AM dual-ring diagram for Navy Ave and Gold St intersection



### AM DUAL RING DIAGRAM:

Figure 4-2 PM dual-ring diagram for Navy Ave and Gold St intersection



# PM DUAL RING DIAGRAM:

Table 4-3 Signa	l timing f	or Navy an	d Gold St	intersection
-----------------	------------	------------	-----------	--------------

Time of Day	Phase 1	Phase 2			P	hase	3	Phase 4		
	G Y R	G	Y	R	G	Y	R	G	Y	R
AM	Omit	27	3	2	Omit			32	3	2
РМ	Omit	32	3	2	23	3	2	40	3	2
	Phase 5		Phase 6			hase	7	Phase 8		

	G	Y	R	G	Y	R	G	Y	R	G	Y	R
AM		0mit		27	3	2		0mit		32	3	2
РМ	Omit		32	3	2	16	3	2	47	3	2	

### 4.2.1.4 LOC and v/c summary

In the HCS summary reports (provided in appendix), the highest v/c ratio is 0.95 which occurs at the eastbound through movement in the morning and the westbound through movement during the afternoon peak hour. The lowest approach LOS was an E for the southbound right turn movement during the morning peak hour. The lowest approach LOS was a D which occurred in the eastbound left turn movement in the afternoon. Morning peak hour had a LOS of D for the intersection, and afternoon peak hour had a LOS of C for the intersection.

#### 4.2.2 Navy Ave and Driveway

#### 4.2.2.1 Warrant Analysis

For the Navy Ave and Driveway intersection, Warrants 1 through 3 fail, there is no pedestrian data so Warrants 4 and 5 do not apply, Warrant 6 does not apply because there the intersection is not part of a coordinated signal system, and there is no available accident or projection data to apply Warrants 7 and 8, and there is no at-grade railroad crossing so Warrant 9 is also not eligible. Therefore, the Navy Ave and Driveway intersection does not warrant a traffic signal by any of the measures.

#### 4.2.2.2 Peak hour turning movement volumes

Table 4-4 Turning movement summary for Navy Ave and Driveway intersection

	Navy Ave at Driveway			Northbound			Eastbound			Westbound			
	Start Time	End Time	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right		
AM Peak	7:00 AM	8:00 AM	70		25		402	61	33	842			
PM Peak	3:00 PM	4:00 PM	55		30		803	116	33	402			

Table 4-5 Turning movement totals for Navy Ave and Driveway intersection

Navy Ave at Driveway

		Intersection Total	
	Start Time	End Time	Intersection Total
AM Peak	7:00 AM	8:00 AM	1433
PM Peak	3:00 PM	4:00 PM	1439

### 4.2.2.3 LOS and v/c summary

The intersection does not warrant a signal under any warrants and thus is unsignalized, with Nave Ave moving freely and a stop sign at the driveway. Using a two-lane driveway provides C and D LoS for AM and PM movement from the driveway, and D and E respectively if using a left-turn bank. A drawing of the intersection can be found in Appendix Exhibit 11.5.30.

#### 4.2.3 Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St

#### 4.2.3.1 Warrant analysis

For the White St and Navy Ave/Buzz St intersection, warrants 1, 2, and 3 are all met regardless of the number of lanes chosen in the design. Since there is no pedestrian data, warrants 4 and 5 do not apply. Warrant 6 does not apply because there the intersection is not part of a coordinated signal system, and there is no available accident or projection data to apply to Warrants 7 and 8. Finally, the intersection is not close enough to an atgrade railroad crossing so Warrant 9 is also not eligible. Therefore, the White St and Navy Ave/Buzz St intersection will include a pre-timed traffic signal. Details of the warrant analysis are found in Appendix Figure 11-4,Figure 11-5,Figure 11-6.

#### 4.2.3.2 Peak hour turning movement volumes

#### Table 4-6 Turning movement summary for Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St intersection

Navy Ave/Buzz St at White St			Ν	orthbou	Ind	S	outhbou	Ind	E	astbou	nd	И	/estbou	nd
	Start Time	End Time	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right
AM Peak	7:00 AM	8:00 AM	140	185	150	125	105	165	226	550	110	83	688	446
PM Peak	3:00 PM	4:00 PM	50	120	70	250	175	335	77	605	55	149	754	226

Table 4-7 Turning movement totals for Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St intersection

Navy	Ave/Buzz St	Intercontion Total			
	Start Time	End Time	intersection rotar		
AM Peak	7:00 AM	8:00 AM	2,973		
PM Peak	3:00 PM	4:00 PM	2,866		

#### 4.2.3.3 Intersection Lane Configuration and Dual Ring Diagram

The diagram showing the designed intersection for White St And Navy Ave/Buzz St can be found in Appendix Exhibit 11.5.32 and the morning and afternoon dual-ring diagrams can be found in Figure 4-3 and Figure 4-4, respectively.

Figure 4-3 AM dual-ring diagram for Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St intersection

Ф1	Φ2 🔨	Ф3	Ф4				
OMIT		ОМІТ					
	17 s green, 3 s yellow, 2 s red		41 s green, 3 s yellow, 2 s red				
Ф5	Ф6 🗌	Φ7	Ф8				
ОМІТ		ОМІТ					
	17 s green, 3 s yellow, 2 s red		41 s green, 3 s yellow, 2 s red				

# AM DUAL RING DIAGRAM:

Figure 4-4 PM dual-ring diagram for Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St intersection

# PM DUAL RING DIAGRAM:

Φ1	Φ2 🔨	Ф3	Ф4
OMIT	17 s green,	OMIT	28 s green,
	3 s yellow, 2 s red		3 s yellow, 2 s red
Φ5	Φ6 🗍	Φ7	Ф8
OMIT	17 s green, 3 s yellow, 2 s red	ΟΜΙΤ	28 s green, 3 s yellow, 2 s red

Table 4-8 Signal timing for Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St Intersection

Time of Day	Phase 1	P	Phase 2		Phase 3	Phase 4			
	G Y R	G	Y	R	G Y	R	G	Y	R
AM	Omit	17	3	2	Omit		41	3	2
РМ	Omit	17	3	2	Omit		28	3	2
	Phase 5	Phase 6		6	Phase 7	7	P	hase	8
	G Y R	G	Y	R	G Y	R	G	Y	R
AM	Omit	17	3	2	Omit		41	3	2
РМ	Omit	17	3	2	Omit		28	3	2

#### 4.2.3.4 LOS and v/c Summary

As can be seen in the HCS summary reports, the highest v/c ratio is 0.95 which occurs at the eastbound left turn movement in the morning and the westbound through movement during the afternoon peak hour. The lowest lane group LOS was an E for the eastbound left turn movement and the southbound left turn movement during the morning peak hour. The lowest approach LOS was a D which occurred in the southbound direction in the afternoon and in the northbound and southbound directions in the morning. Both peak hours had a LOS of C for the entire intersection.

### 4.3 Turn Bay Analysis

#### 4.3.1 Navy Ave and Gold St

There are total of eight turn bays in the intersection. Both Gold Street and Navy Ave have left turn bay and right turn bay for all four approaches. Since all four directions have a left turn volume greater than 125 vehicles per hour during one of the peak hours, turn bays and protected left turns are allowed based on the GDOT requirements. All approaches with turn bays have posted speed limits of 35 mph, in that case, lanes with design speeds of 45 mph are used to determine the length of the turn bays. The total deceleration length according to GDOT standards is 185 ft which will be combined with the expected length of the of each car which is 25ft multiply number of cars in the queue to determine the length of each turn bay. The Eastbound left turn bay will be 585 ft, The Eastbound right turn bay will be 1000 ft, the northbound left turn bay will be 385 ft, the northbound right turn bay will be 435 ft, the southbound left turn bay will be 460 ft, and the southbound right turn bay will be 410 ft.

#### 4.3.2 Navy Ave and Driveway

For the AM and PM peaks, the Level of Service is adequate if all turns happen from a single lane, so the driveway does not require the addition of a turn bay. While Navy Ave does not require a turn bay, the presence of a median allows for easy integration of a turn bay for left-hand turns. Since there is not enough traffic to merit a turn bay, the turn bay is the minimum length of 25 ft.

#### 4.3.3 Navy Ave/Buzz St and White St

There are four turn bays included in the intersection. Westbound on White St has both a right and left turn bay, Eastbound on White St has a left turn bay, and Northbound on Buzz St has a left turn bay. Since all four directions have a left turn volume greater than 125 vph during one of the peak hours, turn bays and protected left turns are allowed according to GDOT requirements. All three roads with turn bays have posted speed limits of 35 mph, so design speeds of 45 mph are used to determine the length of the turn bays. The total deceleration length according to GDOT standards is 185 ft which will be combined with the expected length of the of cars in the queue to determine the length of each turn bay. The Eastbound left turn bay will be 385 ft, the westbound left turn bay will be 285 ft.

This Page Intentionaly Left Blank

# 5 Horizontal Alignment and Superelevation

### 5.1 Summary

The Navy Ave extension required two horizontal curves to appropriately connect Gold St and White St while avoiding the protected habitat and the grounds of future developments. The first step to creating adequate curves was to use table 3-7 in the Green Book with the given design speed (35 mph) and max superelevation (6.0%) to find the minimum radius of 340 ft. The project team decided to use a radius of 500 ft for both curves to create a smoother driving experience. Based on this radius, the calculated sight distance delta was 30° 5′ 32″ and the minimum middle ordinate was 16′ 3.8″ to ensure the curves did not have any sight distance issues. The actual values for  $\Delta$ , T, L, e, and M were then calculated using the chosen radius, PC station bearing, and PT station bearing. This final design confirmed that both the minimum middle ordinate was reached and that the maximum super elevation was not exceeded. The results of this process are in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1 Horizontal curve summary

Curve Number	PC Station	PT Station	Δ	R	т	L	М
1	56+64.07	65+33.51	82° 0' 34"	500	434.715	715.667	122.672
2	148+91.487	152+29.267	37° 19' 43"	500	168.894	325.754	26.2951

# 5.2 Centerline Schematic of Entire Route

The centerline schematic is available in Appendix Exhibit 11.5.1, as well as below in Figure 5-1.

Figure 5-1 Centerline Schematic of Navy Ave Extension



#### 5.3 Horizontal Alignment Sample Calculations for Curve 1

Sample calculations for Curve 1's horizontal alignment are found in Equation 5-1 through Equation 5-10 and a drawing of Curve 1 is found in Appendix Exhibit 11.5.2 and Figure 5-2. *Equation 5-1 Delta (Curve 1)* 

$$\Delta = \overline{PCPI}_{bearing} - \overline{PIPT}_{bearing}$$
  
= N0°0'0"E - S 82°0'33.68"E  
= 82°0'33.68"

Equation 5-2 Curve Length (Curve 1)

$$L = \left(\frac{\pi}{180}\right) * \Delta * R$$
  
=  $\left(\frac{\pi}{180}\right) * 82^{\circ}0'33.68'' * 500 ft$   
= 715.667 ft

Equation 5-3 Tangent Length (Curve 1)

$$T = R * \tan\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)$$
  
= 500 ft \* tan  $\left(82^{\circ}0'33.68''\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)$ 

= 434.715 ft

Equation 5-4 Middle Ordinate (Curve 1)

$$M = R * \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)\right)$$
  
= 500 ft \*  $\left(1 - \cos\left(82^{\circ}0'33.68''\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)\right)$   
= 122.672 ft

Figure 5-2 Curve 1 Detail



#### 5.4 Superelevation Calculations

The road uses a common 2% crown, with lanes sloping at 2% away from the median. The maximum superelevation to be used for the Navy Ave extension is 6.0%. Using Table 3-9 in the Green Book, the design speed of 35mph, and the e<sub>max</sub> of 6.0%, the chosen radius of 500ft falls between the radii for 5.4% and 5.6% superelevation. Since interpolation is not required to find the actual superelevation for curve, 5.6% is chosen since it is greater. Since both curves share a radius and design speed, 5.6% is an appropriate superelevation for them both. Both curves thus have equal superelevation runoff and tangent runout. Table 5-2 shows the superelevation values for each curve, with sample calculations for curve 1 shown below the table.

Curve Number	PC Station	e <sub>actual</sub> (%)	Runoff (ft)	Runout (ft)	BOLT	OLC0%	BILT	FULLE
1	56+64.07	5.6	163	58.2143	54+97.189	55+55.4033	56+13.618	57+18.403
2	148+91.487	5.6	163	58.2143	147+24.61	147+82.82	148+41.03	149+45.82

Table 5-2 Superelevation summary

Based on Table 3-16a in the AASHTO Green Book, the runoff for a 35mph road with 5.6%

superelevation and two lanes rotating is 163ft.

Equation 5-6 Length of Tangent Runout (Curve 1)

$$\Delta = \frac{L_R}{e}$$

$$= \frac{163 ft}{5.6\%}$$

$$= 29.12^{ft}/\%$$
Runout =  $29.12\frac{ft}{\%} * 2\%$ 

$$= 58.21 ft$$

Equation 5-7 Beginning of Outside Lane Transition Station (Curve 1)

$$BOLT = PC - \frac{2}{3}runoff - runout$$
  
= 56 + 64.07-  $\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)$ \* 163ft - 58.21 ft  
= 54 + 97.189

Equation 5-8 Outside Lane Crosses 0% Station (Curve 1)

$$OLC0\% = PC - \frac{2}{3}runoff$$
  
= 56 + 64.07 -  $\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)$  \* 163 ft  
= 55 + 55.4033

Equation 5-9 Beginning of Inside Lane Transition Station (Curve 1)

$$BILT = PC - \frac{2}{3}runoff + runout$$
$$= 56 + 64.07 - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) * 163 ft + 38.65 ft$$
$$= 56 + 13.618$$

Equation 5-10 Full Superelevation Station (Curve 1)

$$FULLE = PC + \frac{1}{3}runoff$$
  
= 56 + 64.07 -  $\frac{163 ft}{3}$   
= 57 + 18.403

# 6 Vertical Alignment

#### 6.1 Summary

The Navy Ave extension used twelve different horizontal curves to minimize the required amount of cut and fill on the site while also keeping a somewhat smooth route. This design was therefore both cost efficient and extremely safe. Approaches to the Gold St intersection and the White St intersection both utilized a small consistent grade of 0.5% slopping in the direction of the terrain to avoid sight distance issues but also encourage drainage from the intersection. Vertical curves began after an appropriate length of consistent slope was provided for the intersections. Data for each vertical curve including elevation, station, tangent grades, curve length, and curvature can be found in Table 6-1. As can be seen in the table, no tangent grades surpass the maximum allowable slope of 10%, with the only two tangent grades above 1.5% being the front and back slopes of the bridge.

A summary table of curve number, PVI station and elevation, tangent grades, curve length, PVC station and elevation, PVT station and elevation is included in Table 6-1.

ID	<b>ΡVI</b> STA	PVI <sub>Elev</sub>	g1	g2	L	к	<b>ΡVC</b> <sub>STA</sub>	PVC <sub>Elev</sub>	<b>ΡVT</b> <sub>STA</sub>	<b>PVT</b> <sub>Elev</sub>
			(%)	(%)						
1	17+00	985.33	-0.5	-0.67	150	900.78	16+25	985.71	17+75	984.83
2	40+00	970	-0.67	-1.47	150	186.55	39+25	970.50	40+75	968.90
3	53+60	950	-1.47	-0.5	150	154.55	52+85	951.10	54+35	949.63
4	68+25	942.68	-0.5	-0.48	150	6913.04	67+50	943.05	69+00	942.32
5	94+75	930	-0.49	1.06	150	97.81	94+00	930.36	95+50	930.79
6	100+04.43	935.59	1.06	6	242.15	48.97	98+83.36	934.31	101+25.51	942.85
7	105+00	965.32	6	-6	347.54	28.96	103+26.23	954.89	106+73.77	954.89
8	110+15.03	934.42	-6	0.39	313.17	49.02	108+58.45	943.81	111+71.62	935.03
9	124+51	940	0.39	0.70	150	484.89	123+76	939.71	125+26	940.52
10	146+00	955	0.70	0.5	150	757.58	145+25	954.48	146+75	955.38
11	155+25	959.63	0.5	0.21	150	510.95	154+50	959.25	156+00	959.78
12	162+25	961.07	0.21	0.5	150	510.95	161+50	960.92	163+00	961.45

Table 6-1 Vertical curve summary

#### 6.2 Schematic of Entire Route

A detailed route schematic is found in appendix Exhibit 11.5.4, but a simplified version is depicted in Figure 6-1.





#### 6.3 Vertical Sample Calculations

Sample calculations for Curve 5 are found in Equation 6-1 through Equation 6-7 and a drawing of Curve 5 can be found in Appendix Exhibit 11.5.5 and below in Figure 6-2. Curve 5, a sag curve at the lowest point of the existing terrain, begins the Navy Ave extension's path upwards to meet White St. PVI<sub>5</sub> is at station 94+75 and has an elevation of 930 ft.

As can be seen in Table 6-1, the <mark>grade of initial tangent (g1) is -0.49%</mark> and the <mark>grade of final tangent (g2) is 1.06%,</mark> so the algebraic difference between the grades is found in Equation 6-1.

Equation 6-1 Algebraic Difference Between Grades (Curve 5)

$$A = |1.06 - -0.49| = 1.53.$$

Using an SSD of 250mph for the design speed of 35, the curve length equations and results are given in Equation 6-2 and Equation 6-3.

Equation 6-2 Minimum Vertical Curve Length if S<L (Curve 5)

$$L_m = \frac{AS^2}{400 + (3.5 * S)}$$
$$= \frac{1.53 * 250^2}{400 + (3.5 * 250)}$$
$$= 75.17$$

Equation 6-3 Minimum Vertical Curve Length if S>L (Curve 5)

$$L_m = 2 * S - \frac{400 + 3.5 * S}{A}$$

$$= 2 * 250 - \frac{400 + 3.5 * 250}{1.53}$$
$$= -331.40$$

Since both these values are less than 150 ft, the defined minimum vertical curve length for the project, the curve length for curve 5 is 150 ft. Since PVI is at station 94+75 with an elevation of 930, we find the PVC and PVT stations and elevations using Equation 6- 4 through Equation 6-7

Equation 6- 4 PVC Station (Curve 5)

$$STA_{PVC} = STA_{PVI} - \frac{L}{2}$$
$$94 + 75 - 75 ft$$
$$= 94 + 00$$

Equation 6-5PVC Elevation (Curve 5)

$$Elev_{PVC} = Elev_{PVI} - \frac{g_1}{100} * \frac{L}{2}$$
  
= 930 ft -  $\left(75 ft * \frac{-0.49}{100}\right)$   
= 930.36 ft

Equation 6-6 PVT Station (Curve 5)

$$STA_{PVT} = STA_{PVI} + \frac{L}{2}$$
  
94 + 75 + 75 ft  
= 95 + 50

Equation 6-7 PVT Elevation (Curve 5)

$$Elev_{PVT} = Elev_{PVI} + \frac{g_2}{100} * \frac{L}{2}$$
  
= 930 ft + (75 ft \*  $\frac{1.06}{100}$ )  
= 930.79 ft

Figure 6-2 Vertical Curve 5 Detail



### 6.4 Bridge Schematic

The full bridge schematic is found in Figure 6-2. Note the difference in horizontal and vertical scale. The bridge schematic is also available in Appendix Exhibit 11.5.6.





# 7 Cross Sections

## 7.1 Typical Cross Section Summary

A drawing of the typical cross section can be found in Figure 7-1 and a full schematic is found in Appendix Exhibit 11.5.7.

As per the project guidelines, a typical section of road features a depressed grassy median. The <mark>median has slope of 1:6</mark> as per the AASHTO guidelines and is <mark>U shaped</mark>, rather than V shaped. The median will become a painted median over the bridge to reduce costs and weight.

The design features a shared-use path on the right side of the Navy Ave Extension. The path has a 12' width with 2' 6% cross-slopes on each side.<sup>1</sup> There is a 5' buffer zone from the edge of the curb to the path border and a 5' buffer zone on the opposite side of the path before the slope to meet existing terrain begins, to protect cyclists and pedestrians from vehicle traffic and the foreslope or backslope. The buffer zone and a 4:1 or flatter slope prevents the need for a fence.<sup>2</sup> To create a pedestrian-friendly environment for people of all mobilities, the cross-slope of the trail is 1%, angled towards the road to aid drainage.

The path follows the curve of the road with no issue for pedestrians and cyclists, since the minimum turning radius for bicycles is much smaller than that for cars, and the radius on both curves is generous as is. When road curves up for the bridge at vertical curve 6, the path will instead continue relatively along its regular grade to meet the path alongside the railroad that runs under the bridge, crossing the railroad at grade. Because there is no information given regarding the usage of either shared-use trail or the railroad, the at-grade crossing has been fit with an automatic gate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> AASHTO Guide to Bicycle Facilities, Section 5.2.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> AASHTO Guide to Bicycle Facilities, Section 5.2.1

#### Figure 7-1 Typical Cross Section



#### 7.2 Horizontal Curve Cross Section

The horizontal curve cross section drawings depict how the superelevation changes along curve 2. The drawings are available in Appendix Exhibit 11.5.8 through Exhibit 11.5.18, and an example is found in Figure 7-2 below.

Figure 7-2 100-ft Horizontal Cross Section (Curve 2)



# 7.3 Bridge Cross Section

The bridge cross sections depict the relationship between the road moving over the bridge and the shared-use path continuing with the terrain. All bridge cross section drawings can be found in Appendix Exhibit 11.5.19 through Exhibit 11.5.28, and an example can be found in Figure 7-3 below.

#### Figure 7-3 Bridge Cross Section at Station 103+00



This Page Intentionaly Left Blank

# 8 Marking and Signage

### 8.1 Summary of Work

Signing and marking plans for the Navy Ave extension project included four interchanges: Navy Ave at Gold St, driveway at Navy Ave, multi-use path intersection by the railroad, and Navy Ave at White St. Each intersection required some of their own design features while also using some commonly seen markings or signs. Overall, all the intersections required 15 different types of road signs and all different kinds of markings. The exact location of each sign or marking can be found in the appropriate drawing in the appendix or referred to in Appendix Exhibit 11.5.34:Index of Signage. Prior to determining the correct signing and marking for the interchanges, the final geometry had to be established. This geometry includes using the minimum required turn radii and curb radius to account for single unit trucks, so that emergency vehicles can safely use the intersection as well as the regular expected vehicle. Road geometry was also affected by the intersection to return the road to the appropriate number of lanes. Exact geometry of all interchanges can also be seen in Appendix Exhibit 11.5.29 through Exhibit 11.5.33

### 8.2 Intersection and Roadway Design Plans

There is no note as to the design vehicle, but it seems that the Single-Unit Truck (three axle) design vehicle will allow the road to be used by typical urban emergency vehicles.<sup>3</sup> The curb radius is also chosen to account for emergency vehicles.<sup>4</sup>

Table 8-1 Turning Radius Requirements

	Single-Unit Truck 3-axle
Min Design TR	51.2'
Centerline TR	47.4'
	a

Min Inside Radius 36.4' Table 8-2 Curb Return Radius Specifications for Passenger Vehicles

# **Curb Return Radius**

Recommended 25'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> AASHTO Green Book, p2-59

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> AASHTO Green book, p 9-66

#### 8.2.1 Lane reductions

Both Gold St and the north side of Navy Ave increase the number of through lanes at the intersection, so both roads need to reduce their lane number as well after the intersection.

The following formulae provides the taper length (*L*) needed based on the offset (*W*) (in this case, the width of the lane being dropped), and the posted speed limit or  $85^{\text{th}}$  percentile speed (*S*), whichever is higher (speed limit is used due to availability).<sup>5</sup> *Equation 8-1 Navy Ave lane reduction taper length* 

$$L = \frac{WS^2}{60} = \frac{12 * 35^2}{60} = 245 ft$$

On Navy Ave, the sign W4-2 is at station 8+30, 100' north of the speed limit sign. The taper begins 565' after this point, at station 2+65. The dashed lane line between the right and left lanes ends at station 6+88.75.

Equation 8-2 Gold St lane reduction taper length

$$L = WS = 12 * 45 = 540 ft$$

Lane reductions on Gold St require arrows indicating the lane reduction, but these are not required on Navy Ave because Navy Ave's speed limit is less than 45 mph.<sup>6</sup>

#### 8.2.2 Edge lines

Edge lines on the right side of the road are not necessary on the project's roads due to the presence of the curb.<sup>7</sup> Since no statement was made regarding curbs on Gold St, White St, and Buzz St, the assumption is made that curbs are also present and thus edge lines are not required.

#### 8.2.3 Signage and markings notes

For each intersection, there are two tables of markings, one for Navy Ave, the Navy Ave Extension, and Buzz St, and the second for the cross streets of Gold St and White St. Tables of all signage and markings are available in Appendix 11.4: Signage and Markings Tables (Table 11-1 through Table 11-6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> MUTCD Section 3B.09

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> MUTCD Figure 3B-24

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> MUTCD Section 3B.07
Stationing for Gold St and White St use STA 20+00 to be the center of the intersection, with increasing stations traveling East / Northeast. Driveway stationing uses 10+00 as the center of the intersection, increasing stations moving towards the settlement. The center of White St/Navy Ave intersection is STA 164+33 for Navy Ave.

## 8.3 Shared-Use Path Intersection

The design team elected to use an automatic gate for at-grade railroad crossing instead of passive protection, since there is no information pertaining to expected pedestrian and cyclist volume on the trails, nor are records of railway traffic included with the project. An active gate allows the city to be prepared for high trail volumes in the future.

The offset for the path that follows the railroad is 25ft, which is recommended by USDOT research to adequately separate those traveling on the path from the railroad.<sup>8</sup> A fence may be added depending on the amount of traffic on the trail, and if used a 5ft fence is adequate.

Signage and markings for the intersection between the two shared-use paths is included in Appendix Table 11-7, and the drawing of the intersection is available in Appendix Exhibit 11.5.31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> USDOT, Trails-with-Rails, Section V

This Page Intentionaly Left Blank

# 9 Conclusion

Our team was tasked with the design of an extension for Navy avenue from Gold street to White street. Five checklists were used to guide through the whole design process. The design team created intersection layouts, signal timings, horizontal alignment, and vertical alignment that met all the design requirements and specifications.

There are some aspects of the design that could have been improved, such as better coordination of the design of horizontal and vertical curves. During the design process, the horizontal alignment was determined prior to any considerations for the vertical alignment. It would be beneficial to have both alignments designed at the same time, so that the team could determine and avoid any potential problems before completing either alignment. The vertical curve design lacked consideration for drainage, particularly in the sag curve featured after the bridge. The combination of being a sag curve while also being below the existing ground in a cut section means that water will naturally flood there. While this issue could be solved with significant hydrological features, it is poor practice to rely on those and a more experienced team would have the foresight to design a more appropriate curve.

Overall, the team is satisfied with the design of the Navy avenue extension. The proposed roadway provides accommodations for vehicles, bicycle, and pedestrian. The design prioritizes the safety and comfort of all roadway users. This Page Intentionaly Left Blank

# 10 Bibliography

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. "A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets." American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, D.C.: 2018.

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. "Guide to the Development of Bike Facilities." American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, D.C.: 2012.
- Birk et al. "Rails-with-Trails: Lessons Learned Literature Review, Current Practices, Conclusions." US DOT, Cambridge, MA.: 2002

Handouts and slides from class

MUTCD, 2009 Edition, published by FHWA at

https://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/pdfs/2009/pdf index.htm

This Page Intentionaly Left Blank

# 11 Appendix

### 11.1 Checklists

Signed and marked checklists are included for:

- Exhibit 11.1.1. Signalization and Intersection control
- Exhibit 11.1.2. Horizontal Alignment/ Superelevation
- Exhibit 11.1.3. Vertical Alignment
- Exhibit 11.1.4. Cross sections
- Exhibit 11.1.5. Marking and Signing

### 11.2 Warrant Analysis

### Figure 11-1 Warrant 1 Analysis for Navy Ave and Gold St Intersection

warrant 1				m	neet? 100%	A	meet? 100%	В		meet? Combi	nation of A ar	nd B	A	В
Main street	3015	minor street	220	x			x						x	x
	3229		305	x			x						x	x
	3141		260	x			x						x	x
	3015		275	x			x						x	x
	2910		200	x			x						x	x
	2575		155	x			x						x	x
	2498		110				x							x
	2608		145				x						x	x
	2949		220	x			x						x	x
	3229		305	x			x						x	x
	3103		275	x			x						x	x
	3169		295	x			x						x	x
	2855		205	x			x						x	x
	2492		120				x						x	x
	2300		100				x							x
				11	1 hours	Condition A	met	Condition B r	net				combination	n of A &B met

Note: The graphs for Warrants 2 and 3 for Navy Ave and Gold St Intersection are not included because the Major Street volumes are too large for any points to appear on the warrant graphs.

Figure 11-2 Warrant 2 Analysis for Navy Ave and Gold St Intersection

Warrant 2				Meet? (Bas	ed on Figure 4C
Main street	3015 min	or street	220	х	
	3229		305	х	
	3141		260	х	
	3015		275	х	
	2910		200	х	
	2575		155	х	
	2498		110		
	2608		145	х	
	2949		220	х	
	3229		305	х	
	3103		275	х	
	3169		295	х	
	2855		205	х	
	2492		120		
	2300		100		
				12 hours	warrant 2 me

### Figure 11-3 Warrant 3 Analysis for Navy Ave and Gold St Intersection

Warrant 3					M	leet? (Based	on Figure 4C	-3)													
Gold Street at	t Navy Ave		Northbour	d		9	Southbound			Eastboun	e l		, i	Westbound							
Start time	End time	left	Thru	Right	let	ft t	thru	right	left	thru	right	left	t 1	thru	right		major total v	/olume		higher minor	volume
7:00 AM	8:00 AM	255	; 3	05	275	255	275	250	5	5 1	337	83	77	139	2 105	;		3229		305	
3:00 PM	4:00 PM	75	; 2	75	70	95	305	50	27	5 1	392	281	303	183	7 281	L		3229		305	
															Figure	4C-3. Warrant 3	, Peak Hour				
					Th	his intersecti	on warrants	a singal						600					1		

Figure 11-4 Warrant 1 analysis for White St and Navy Ave/Buzz St intersection

White St a	t Navy Ave	Northbound	Southbound	Eastbound	Westbound	Total	Warrant 1 - O	Condition A	Warrant 1 -	Condition B	Warrant 1	- Combo
Start Time	End Time	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Total	Minor - 1 Ln	Minor - 2+	Minor - 1 Ln	Minor - 2+	Minor - 1 Ln	Minor - 2+
6:00 AM	7:00 AM	330	290	600	908	2128	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
7:00 AM	8:00 AM	475	395	886	1217	2973	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
8:00 AM	9:00 AM	415	375	793	1139	2722	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
9:00 AM	10:00 AM	415	375	810	1139	2739	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
10:00 AM	11:00 AM	310	265	507	847	1929	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
11:00 AM	12:00 PM	125	215	479	694	1513	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
12:00 PM	1:00 PM	95	160	402	595	1252	TRUE	FALSE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE
1:00 PM	2:00 PM	80	225	414	594	1313	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
2:00 PM	3:00 PM	155	530	578	810	2073	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
3:00 PM	4:00 PM	240	760	737	1129	2866	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
4:00 PM	5:00 PM	205	635	689	1068	2597	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
5:00 PM	6:00 PM	190	740	671	1013	2614	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
6:00 PM	7:00 PM	125	500	512	798	1935	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
7:00 PM	8:00 PM	65	305	364	612	1346	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
8:00 PM	9:00 PM	80	210	369	512	1171	TRUE	TRUE	FALSE	FALSE	TRUE	TRUE
					Number of H	lours met	15	14	14	14	15	14





### Figure 4C-1. Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume

### Figure 11-6 Warrant 3 analysis for White St and Navy Ave/Buzz St intersection



### 11.3 HCM Reports

Exhibit 11.3.1. AM Navy Ave/Gold St Report
Exhibit 11.3.2. PM Navy Ave/Gold St Report
Exhibit 11.3.3. AM Navy Ave/ Driveway Report
Exhibit 11.3.4. PM Navy Ave/ Driveway Report
Exhibit 11.3.5. AM White St/ Navy Ave/ Buzz St Report
Exhibit 11.3.6. PM White St/ Navy Ave/ Buzz St Report

### 11.4 Signage and Markings Tables

### Table 11-1 Navy Ave Signage and Markings at Gold St Intersection

STA	Location	Sign/Marking	Designation	Dimension	Color	Reference
	Between lanes	Broken line		1:3 line to	white	3B.05
	in same			gap ratio,		
	direction of			4-6 in		
	travel			wide		
	Between lanes	Double solid		4-6 in	yellow	3B.01, 3B.
	in opposing	line		wide		02
	directions of					
	travel					
12+42	Right side of	Speed limit	R2-1	30 x 36		2B.13
	road	30				
09+30	Right side of	Speed limit	R2-1	30 x 36		2B.13
	road	30				
		Crosswalk		6-24in	white	3B.18
		lines				
	Min 4' behind	Stop line		12 – 24 in	White	3B.16
	crosswalk					
	Down center of	Dashed line		1:3 line to	yellow	
	shared path			gap		

No guidance	Every approach needs to be able to read the street name. could be on a pole of its own or on the traffic	Street name signs	D3-1	Varies x 12	Green, white text	2D.43
8+30	Northbound side of the road	Lane reduction sign	W4-2	36 x 36	yellow	2C.42
6+88.75	End the dashed white line					
2+65	Begin taper					
00+20	Taper ends					

Table 11-2 Gold St. Signage and Markings at Navy Ave Intersection

STA	Location	Sign/Marking	Designation	Dimension	Color	Reference
	Between lanes in same direction of travel	Broken line		1:3 line to gap ratio, 4-6 in wide	white	3B.05
	Between lanes in opposing directions of travel	Double solid line		4-6 in wide	yellow	3B.01, 3B. 02
21+42	Gold st, right side of road, 100 ft after intersection	Speed limit 45	R2-1	30 x 36	White	2B.13
18+58	Gold st, right side of road, 100 ft after intersection	Speed limit 45	R2-1	30 x 36	white	2B.13
17+58	side of the road	Lane reduction sign	W4-2	36 x 36	yellow	2C.42
15+64.25	End the dashed white line					

	1	1	1	1	r	
09+83	Begin taper					
04+43	Taper ends					
22+42	Northbound side of the road	Lane reduction sign	W4-2	36 x 36	yellow	2C.42
24+37.75	End the dashed white line					
30+17	Begin taper					
35+57	Taper ends					
		Crosswalk lines		6-24in	white	3B.18
	Min 4' behind crosswalk	Stop line		12 – 24 in	White	3B.16
No guidance	Every approach needs to be able to read the street name. could be on a pole of its own or on the traffic light poles	Street name signs	D3-1	Varies x 12	Green, white text	2D.43

### Table 11-3 Navy Ave/ Buzz St Signage and Markings at White St Intersection

Station	Location	Sign / Marking	Designation	Dimension	Color	Reference
159+37	In the median	Left lane must turn left	R3-7	36 x 36	white	2B.20
	Min 4' behind crosswalk	Stop line		12 – 24 in	White	3B.16
	Across all roads	Crosswalk lines		6-24in	white	3B.18
	Between lanes in same direction of travel	Broken line		1:3 line to gap ratio, 4- 6 in wide	white	3B.05
	Between lanes in opposing directions of	Double solid line		4-6 in wide each	yellow	3B.01, 3B. 02

	travel					
	Down center of shared path	Dashed line		1:3 line to gap	yellow	
163+95	Towards end of shared use path, share pole		M1-8, M6-4	18 x 24		9B.21
164+71	On NW side of Buzz st, in line with stop line, share pole		M1-8, M6-4	12 x 9		9B.21
No guidance	Every approach needs to be able to read the street name. could be on a pole of its own or on the traffic light poles	Street name signs	D3-1	Varies x 12	Green, white text	2D.43
163+03	Left side of the road	Speed limit 30	R2-1	30 x 36	White	2B.13
163+73	Right side of road	Speed limit 35	R2-1	30 x 36	white	2B.13

### Table 11-4 White St Signage and Markings at Navy Ave/Buzz St Intersection

Station	Location	Sign / Marking	Designation	Dimension	Color	Reference
		Crosswalk lines		6-24in	white	3B.18
	Min 4'	Stop line		12 – 24 in	White	3B.16
	behind					
	crosswalk					
	Bike lane			6'-6"	white	9C.04
	Right side	Solid line		4-6in wide	white	3D.02
	of right					
	lane (for					
	bike lane)					
Min 4'	Stop line		12 – 24 in	White	3B.16	
behind						
crosswalk						
Across all	Crosswalk		6-24in	white	3B.18	
roads	lines					
Between	Broken		1:3 line to	white	3B.05	
lanes in	line		gap ratio, 4-			
same			6 in wide			
direction						
of travel						

Between lanes in opposing directions of travel	Double solid line		4-6 in wide each	yellow	3B.01, 3B. 02	
No guidance	Every approach needs to be able to read the street name. could be on a pole of its own or on the traffic light poles	Street name signs	D3-1	Varies x 12	Green, white text	2D.43

### Table 11-5 Navy Ave Signage and Markings at Driveway Intersection

Station	Location	Sign / Marking	Designation	Dimension	Color	Reference
7886	Center of path	Yield	R1-2	18 x 18 x 18	White, red	9B.03
7914	Center of path	Yield	R1-2	18 x 18 x 18	White, red	9B.03
7913 (or where it fits)	Near multi-use path so driveway driver can see	Shared path xing here	Combo W11-15 W11-15P W16-7P	30 x 30 24 x 18 24 x 12	Yellow	9B.18
	Between lanes in same direction of travel	Broken line		1:3 line to gap ratio, 4- 6 in wide	white	3B.05
	Between lanes in opposing directions of travel	Double solid line		4-6 in wide	yellow	3B.01, 3B. 02
77+00		Speed limit 30	R2-1			2B.13
79+00		Speed limit 30	R2-1			2B.13
	Down center of shared path	Dashed line		1:3 line to gap	yellow	

No guidance	Every approach needs to be able to read the street name. could be on a pole of its own or on the traffic light poles	Street name signs	D3-1	Varies x 12	Green, white text	2D.43
No guidance	Every approach needs to be able to read the street name. could be on a pole of its own or on the traffic light poles	Street name signs	D3-1	Varies x 12	Green, white text	2D.43

### Table 11-6 Driveway Signage and Markings at Navy Ave Intersection

Station	Location	Sign/Marking	Designation	Dimension	Color	Reference
	Driveway	Stop	R1-1	36 x 36	Red	2B.05
	Below the stop sign	Cross traffic DNS	W4-4P	24 x 12	yellow	2C.59
	Between lanes	Broken line		1:3 line to gap ratio, 4- 6 in wide	yellow	3B.01
Across driveway approach	Min 4' behind crosswalk	Stop line		12 – 24 in	White	3B.16
Across driveway		Crosswalk lines		6-24in	white	3B.18
No guidance	Every approach needs to be able to read the street name. could be on a pole of its own or on the traffic light poles	Street name signs	D3-1	Varies x 12	Green, white text	2D.43

### Table 11-7 Multi-Use Path Signage and Markings

Station	Location	Sign/Marking	Designation	Dimension	Color	Reference
104+74	On gate pole	crossbuck	R15-1	24 x 4.5		8B.03,
						9B.14
104+74	1 gate per	Gate				8C.04
	approach, arm					
	extends over					
	path. Gate base					
	is 1' to the side					
	of the shoulder					
103+74		RR warning	W10-1	24 Dia.		8B.06,
						9B.19
104+24		RR crossing		See Figure		8B.27
		paint on path		8B-7a and		
				scale		
104+98	On gate pole	crossbuck	R15-1	24 x 4.5		8B.03,
		-				9B.14
104+98	1 gate per	Gate				8C.04,
	approach, arm					8D.06,
	extends over					
	path. Gate base					
	is 1 to the side					
105.00	of the shoulder	DD warnin a	W10 1	24 Dia		
105+98		KK warning	VV10-1	24 Dia.		0D.00,
105+40		PD crossing		Soo Figuro		9D.19 0P.27
103+40		naint on nath		OP 72 and		00.27
		paint on path				
105+26	For those who	Directions	D1_2b	Variacy 10	Groon	0B 20
103+20	iust grossed	sign	D1-30	Valles X 10	Green	9D.20
	tracka	Sign				
105+09	For those who	Directions	D1_2h	Varias v 19	Groop	0B 20
105+00	roi those who	sign	D1-30	Valles X 10	Green	90.20
	tracks	SIGII				
	Down contor of	Dachad lina		1.2 lips to	vollow	
	noth	Dasheu lille			yenow	
	paul			gap		

### 11.5 Drawings

- Exhibit 11.5.1. Full Route Centerline Horizontal Alignment
- Exhibit 11.5.2. Horizontal Curve 1
- Exhibit 11.5.3. Horizontal Curve 2
- Exhibit 11.5.4. Vertical Alignment of Entire Route
- Exhibit 11.5.5. Detailed Vertical Curve 5
- Exhibit 11.5.6. Bridge Schematic
- Exhibit 11.5.7. Typical Cross Section
- Exhibit 11.5.8. BOLT PC Cross Section, Curve 2
- Exhibit 11.5.9. OCL0% PC Cross Section, Curve 2
- Exhibit 11.5.10. BILT PC Cross Section, Curve 2
- Exhibit 11.5.11. FULLE PC Cross Section, Curve 2
- Exhibit 11.5.12. Horizontal 100ft Cross Section, Curve 2
- Exhibit 11.5.13. Horizontal 200ft Cross Section, Curve 2
- Exhibit 11.5.14. Horizontal 300ft Cross Section, Curve 2
- Exhibit 11.5.15. FULLE PT Cross Section, Curve 2
- Exhibit 11.5.16. BILT PT Cross Section, Curve 2
- Exhibit 11.5.17. OCL0% PT Cross Section, Curve 2
- Exhibit 11.5.18. BOLT PT Cross Section, Curve 2
- Exhibit 11.5.19. Bridge Cross Section 1
- Exhibit 11.5.20. Bridge Cross Section 2
- Exhibit 11.5.21. Bridge Cross Section 3
- Exhibit 11.5.22. Bridge Cross Section 4
- Exhibit 11.5.23. Bridge Cross Section 5
- Exhibit 11.5.24. Bridge Cross Section 6
- Exhibit 11.5.25. Bridge Cross Section 7
- Exhibit 11.5.26. Bridge Cross Section 8
- Exhibit 11.5.27. Bridge Cross Section 9
- Exhibit 11.5.28. Bridge Cross Section 10
- Exhibit 11.5.29. Gold and Navy Intersection
- Exhibit 11.5.30. Driveway and Navy Intersection
- Exhibit 11.5.31. Railroad Crossing and Shared-Use Paths Intersection
- Exhibit 11.5.32. White and Navy Intersection
- Exhibit 11.5.33. White and Navy Turn Radius Detail
- Exhibit 11.5.34. Index of Signage



# Signalization and Intersection Control

Due:

Section D1: March 6<sup>th</sup> 2020 Section D2: March 6<sup>th</sup> 2020 Section D3: March 5<sup>th</sup> 2020

### Formatting

- d Organized, typed, and clearly written
- Summary of work
- Highlighted results or design elements

### Analysis for Intersection (Driveway & Navy Ave)

- Warrant Analysis
- Peak hour turning movement volumes
- HCS reports for AM peak and PM peak
- D Intersection lane configuration shown (final report submission must be as part of plan set)
- Dual Ring Diagram, green time, yellow change interval, and red-clearance time (if signal / warranted)
- D LOS and v/c summary tables and discussion

### Analysis for Intersection (Navy Ave & Gold Street)

- Warrant Analysis
- Peak hour turning movement volumes
- HCS reports for AM peak and PM peak
- Intersection lane configuration shown (final report submission must be as part of plan set)
- ☐ Dual Ring Diagram, green time, yellow change interval, and red-clearance time (if signal warranted)
- □ LOS and v/c summary tables and discussion

### Analysis for Intersection (Navy Ave/Buzz Street & White Street)

- 🗹 Warrant Analysis
- Peak hour turning movement volumes
- HCS reports for AM peak and PM peak
- D Intersection lane configuration shown (final report submission must be as part of plan set)
- Dual Ring Diagram, green time, yellow change interval, and red-clearance time (if signal / warranted)
- LOS and v/c summary tables and discussion

### **Turn Bay Analysis**

- Analysis for each intersection
- AM peak and PM peak hour traffic volumes shown for each turning movement
- Determine if GDOT left turn phasing criteria are satisfied
- Design speed and deceleration distance for each turn bay
- Calculations using the AM peak and PM peak traffic for each turn bay
- Length of each turn bay shown

TA Signature

Date



# Horizontal Alignment/Superelevation Checklist

Due: Section D1: March 13, 2020 Section D2: March 13, 2020 Section D3: March 12, 2020

### Formatting

- ☑, Organized, typed, and clearly written
- Summary of work
- Highlighted results or design elements
- DI Title block for all CAD drawings

### Horizontal Alignment Summary

- ☐ Highlighted results or design elements
- □ Methodology for designing horizontal alignment
- Provide summary table of all curves in horizontal alignment (Curve Number, PC Station, PT Station, Δ. R, T, L, M)

### Horizontal Centerline Schematic of Entire Route

- Drawn neatly in CAD
- D PIs labeled with #, Northing, and Easting
- Tangent distances shown
- Tangent bearings shown
- Curves labeled
- $\square \vee R$ , T, L and  $\triangle$  shown for each curve
- Show beginning, end and PI stations
- North arrow
- Graphical scale (should match a typical engineering scale used)
- Title block in one corner (can be printed on several pages, if a large plot is not able to be printed title block should be on each page)

### Stationing

- ☑ Station beginning at Navy Ave and Gold St intersection (10+0●)
- Mark every 100 foot station
- I Stationing follows along curves

### Horizontal Alignment Sample Calculations (Select 1 Curve)

- $\square$ , Demonstrated calculation for  $\triangle$
- Demonstrated calculation for L
- Demonstrated calculation for T from PI to PC, and from PI to PT
- Demonstrated calculation for M (middle ordinate)
- Drawing of selected curve
  - Bearings and tangents shown
  - Chosen radius shown
  - Curve data shown
  - North arrow and scale (or specify if not to scale)

### **Superelevation Calculations**

IV Normal Crown Rate used in Tangent Sections shown/stated

- Maximum allowable superelevation shown/stated
- □ Sample calculations shown for one selected curve
- □ Superelevation summary table completed with all curves:
  - Length of Superelevation Runoff shown for each curve ÷
  - Length of Tangent Runout shown for each curve •
  - Beginning of superelevation lane transition (BOLT), outside lane crosses 0% e (OLC0%), begin inside lane transition (BILT), and full superelevation (FULLE) stations labeled for each curve
  - Superelevation sections do not overlap \$

Contra Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Group Representative

Date



### Vertical Alignment Checklist Due: Section D1: April 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2020

Section D1: April 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2020 Section D2: April 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2020 Section D3: April 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2020

### Formatting

- ☑ Organized, typed, and clearly written
- ☑ Summary of work
- Highlighted results or design elements
- ☑ Title block for all CAD drawings

### Vertical Curve Summary

☑ Summary table showing: curve #, tangent grades, lengths of curves, and PVC, PVI, & PVT stations and elevations

### Vertical Alignment Schematic (entire Navy Ave. project length)

- ☑ Drawn neatly (in CAD)
- Z Existing (dashed) and proposed (solid) ground shown and labeled clearly
- ☑ PVC, PVI, PVT labeled for each vertical curve (station and elevation)
- ☑ Tangent grades shown to two decimal places
- $\blacksquare$  Begin, end and intersection stations and elevations labeled
- □ Horizontal and vertical scales shown

### **Vertical Sample Calculations**

Z Explain methodology used for creating vertical alignment

- $\square$  One curve selected for sample calculations
  - Algebraic difference (A) calculated and shown
  - K value selected, documented as adequate and shown
  - o Length (L) of curve calculated correctly
  - o PVI, PVC, PVT stations and elevations calculated
  - Proposed elevations of full stations (100') along curve calculated
  - Curve High/Low Point station and elevation calculated

### Drawing of Selected Curve

- Grades of tangents shown (2 decimal places)
- Algebraic difference shown
- K value shown
- Length of curve shown
- o Stations and Elevations of PVI, PVC, PVT, and High/Low Point shown

### **Bridge Schematic**

- $\overrightarrow{\square}$  Show grades of tangent sections and bridge deck
- ☑ Bridge span labeled
- ☑ Show vertical curves (PVCs & PVTs labeled)
- ☑ Vertical and horizontal clearance labeled

Lucas Yu TA Signature



**Cross Sections Checklist** Due: Section D1: April 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2020 Section D2: April 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2020 Section D3: April 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2020

### **Formatting**

- ☑ Organized, typed, and clearly written
- ☑ Summary of work
- Highlighted results or design elements
- ☑ Title block for all CAD drawings

### **Typical Cross Section**

Discussion of median and bike/pedestrian facilities to be included

- ☑ Typical Section Drawing:
  - Show cut on left side and fill on right side
  - Existing (dashed) and proposed (solid) ground clearly labeled
  - Centerline clearly labeled
  - o Roadway template shown for proposed ground side slopes, pavement crossslopes, and dimensions are clearly labeled
  - Scale shown graphically (vertical scale exaggerated)
  - o Limits of construction and minimum right of way limits clearly marked
  - Enlarged view of typical bike/pedestrian facilities and/or roadway curb

### **Horizontal Alignment Cross Sections**

 $\square$  For one selected horizontal curve:

- Cross sections for every 100-foot station
- Cross sections at PC and PT
- Correct superelevations shown
- ☑ Cross-slopes clearly labeled
- Existing (dashed) and proposed (solid) ground clearly labeled
- Z Existing and proposed elevations shown at the centerline
- ☑ Scale shown graphically (vertical scale exaggerated)
- ☑ Cross section station labeled
- Limits of construction and minimum ROW limits clearly marked and dimensioned

### **Vertical Alignment Cross Sections**

Cross sections for every 100-foot station from beginning of bridge vertical curve transition to center of bridge

- □ Cross sections at all PVCs and PVTs
- Existing (dashed) and proposed (solid) ground clearly labeled
- □ Existing and proposed elevations shown at the centerline
- ☑ Scale shown graphically (vertical scale exaggerated)
- □ Cross section station labeled
- Limits of construction and minimum ROW limits clearly marked and dimensioned



**Marking and Signing Checklist** Due: Section D1: April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2020 Section D2: April 10<sup>th</sup>, 2020 Section D3: April 9<sup>th</sup>, 2020

### **Formatting**

- ☑ Organized, typed, and clearly written
- ☑ Methodology for signing and marking plans (cite references used)
- ☑ Title block for all CAD drawings

### **Intersection and Roadway Design Plans**

- Drawing for each intersection (Navy & Gold, Navy & Driveway, Navy & White)
- □ Stationing, north arrow, scale, and street names
- ☑ Stop bars, width and color
- ☑ Lane lines, width, color, length and spacing
- ☑ Edge of pavement lines, width and color
- ☑ Turning arrows (show how many), dimensions and color
- Bicycle accommodations (i.e. bike lane striping & marking), dimensions and color
- Pedestrian accommodations (i.e. crosswalks, etc.), dimensions and color
- ☑ Other pavement markings deemed necessary, dimensions and color
- ☑ Speed limit sign
- ☑ Street name sign
- Additional signs deemed necessary (i.e. stop signs, yield signs, etc.)

□ For signalized & unsignalized intersections:

- Labeled turn radii and clearances
- Labeled curb return radii

### **Signalization Plans (extra credit)**

- □ For each signalized intersection (Optional)
- □ Location of signal cabinet (Optional)

□ Location of signal poles and support structures (Optional)

- □ Location and configuration of signal displays (Optional)
- □ Signal phasing sequence (Optional)

### **Pedestrian/Bicycle Connection to Multi-use Path**

Bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities tie into multi-use path near railroad, at-grade Any signs & pavement markings deemed necessary

### **Available Sources:**

MUTCD (http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/pdfs/2009r1r2/pdf index.htm) - primary reference Urban Bikeway Design Guide (https://nacto.org/publication/urban-bikeway-design-guide/)

# Exhibit 11.3.1

### Gold @ Navy AM Final Project

2	٠	+	*	•	ŧ	*	1	1	*	*	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	3	<b>++</b> 12		5	<b>**</b> %			41	1		44	7
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	55	1837	83	77	1392	105	255	305	275	255	275	250
Future Volume (veh/h)	55	1837	83	77	1392	105	255	305	275	255	275	250
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/in	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826
Adi Flow Rate, veh/h	60	1997	90	84	1513	114	277	332	299	277	299	272
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Percent Heavy Veh, %	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Cap, veh/h	168	2268	102	122	2193	165	309	618	605	282	618	605
Arrive On Green	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39
Sat Flow, veh/h	302	4890	220	193	4729	356	523	1578	1547	455	1578	1547
Gro Volume(v) veh/h	60	1355	732	84	1063	564	277	332	299	277	299	272
Gro Sat Flow(s) veh/h/ln	302	1662	1786	193	1662	1762	523	1578	1547	455	1578	1547
Q Serve(a s) s	13.5	25.5	25.7	63	17.4	17.4	17.2	11.2	10.1	15.8	98	90
Cycle O Clear(o, c) s	30.9	25.5	25.7	32.0	17.4	17.4	27.0	11.2	10.1	27.0	9.8	9.0
Propin Lane	1.00	20.0	0.12	1.00		0.20	1 00		1.00	1.00	0.0	1.00
lane Gro Cap(c) veh/h	168	1541	828	122	1541	817	309	618	605	282	618	605
V/C Ratio(X)	0.36	0.88	0.88	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.90	0.54	0.49	0.98	0.48	0.45
Avail Cap(c a) veh/h	168	1541	828	122	1541	817	309	618	605	282	618	605
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Unstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	26.8	16.8	16.8	33.8	14.6	14.6	28.2	16.2	15.8	29.4	15.8	15.5
Incr Delay (d2) s/veh	5.8	7.5	13.1	27.2	2.6	4.7	30.6	3.3	29	49.0	2.7	2.4
Initial O Delav(d3) s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(95%) veh/ln	20	13.6	16.2	3.9	93	10.4	11.2	71	62	12.8	62	55
Unsig Movement Delay s/veh				0.0								0.0
InGro Delav(d) s/veh	32.6	24.2	29.9	61.1	17.1	19.3	587	19.5	18.7	78.4	18.5	17.9
LnGro LOS	C	C	С	E	В	В	E	В	В	E	В	В
Annmach Vol. weh/h		2147			1711			908			848	
Anomach Delay, s/yeh		26.4			20.0			31.2			37.9	
Approach LOS		C			C			C			D	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4		6		8			ir da	
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc) s		32.0		37.0		32.0		37.0				
Change Period (Y+Rc) s		50		50		5.0		50				
Max Green Setting (Gmax) s		27.0		32.0		27.0		32.0				
Max Q Clear Time (q c+l1), s		29.0		32.9		29.0		34.0				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			27.0									
HCM 6th LOS			C									
Notes												

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green. Educational Use Only

Synchro 10 Classroom Report

# Exhibit 11.3.2

Gold @ Navy PM

v.	۶	+	¥	4	-	*	1	Ť	1	$\mathbf{F}$	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	11p		٦	4 <b>4</b> %			-î†	1		-î†	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	275	1392	281	303	1837	250	75	275	70	95	305	50
Future Volume (veh/h)	275	1392	281	303	1837	250	75	275	70	95	305	50
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826	1826
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	299	1513	305	329	1997	272	82	299	76	103	332	54
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Percent Heavy Veh, %	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Cap, veh/h	321	1627	327	455	2061	277	120	402	394	144	402	394
Arrive On Green	0.15	0.39	0.39	0.22	0.46	0.46	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Sat Flow, veh/h	1739	4163	836	1739	4444	597	214	1578	1547	310	1578	1547
Gro Volume(v), veh/h	299	1207	611	329	1486	783	82	299	76	103	332	54
Gro Sat Flow(s) veh/h/ln	1739	1662	1675	1739	1662	1718	214	1578	1547	310	1578	1547
Q Serve(q s) s	14.3	38.2	38.5	14.2	47.7	49.4	6.2	19.2	4.2	8.8	21.8	3.0
Cycle Q Clear(g c) s	14.3	38.2	38.5	14.2	47.7	49.4	28.0	19.2	42	28.0	21.8	3.0
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.50	1.00		0.35	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Lane Gro Cap(c), veh/h	321	1299	655	455	1541	797	120	402	394	144	402	394
V/C Ratio(X)	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.72	0.96	0.98	0.68	0.74	0.19	0.71	0.83	0.14
Avail Cap(c a), veh/h	321	1299	655	455	1541	797	120	402	394	144	402	394
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	33.1	32.0	32.1	28.0	28.6	29.1	53.0	37.7	32.1	51.6	38.7	31.7
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	35.6	12.9	22.2	9.6	15.9	27.9	27.2	11.8	1.1	25.8	17.4	0.7
Initial Q Delav(d3).s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(95%) veh/ln	11.1	22.7	25.1	7.5	27.4	32.0	5.6	13.1	29	6.9	15.2	2.0
Unsig, Movement Delay, s/veh		3/30	1000	100	1000		67.87.6	1000	1000	1997	0.00	2.52
LnGro Delav(d).s/veh	68.7	44.9	54.3	37.6	44.6	57.0	80.2	49.5	33.2	77.4	56.1	32.4
LnGrp LOS	E	D	D	D	D	E	F	D	C	E	E	C
Approach Vol. veh/h		2117			2598			457	-		489	
Anoroach Delay, s/yeh		51.0			47.4			52.3			58.0	
Approach LOS		D			D			D			E	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2	3	4		6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		33.0	29.0	48.0		33.0	21.0	56.0				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		28.0	24.0	43.0		28.0	16.0	51.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g c+l1), s		30.0	16.2	40.5		30.0	16.3	51.4				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		0.0	0.6	2.1		0.0	0.0	0.0				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			50.1									
HCM 6th LOS			D									
Nation												

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green. Educational Use Only 0408

### HCM 6th TWSC 3: Driveway & Navy

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.7					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	<b>1</b>		٢	<b>†</b> †	Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	402	61	33	842	70	25
Future Vol, veh/h	402	61	33	842	70	25
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	25	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage	e, # 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	423	64	35	886	74	26

Major/Minor	Major1	Ν	/lajor2	1	Minor1		
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	487	0	968	244	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	455	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	513	-	
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.14	-	6.84	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.84	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.84	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.22	-	3.52	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	r -	-	1072	-	251	757	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	606	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	566	-	
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuve	er -	-	1072	-	243	757	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuve	er -	-	-	-	243	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	606	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	547	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.3	23.2
HCM LOS			С

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	296	-	-	1072	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.338	-	-	0.032	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	23.2	-	-	8.5	-
HCM Lane LOS	С	-	-	А	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.4	-	-	0.1	-

# Educational Use Only

NavyDrivewayAM0319 03/19/2020 Baseline Freyja

### HCM 6th TWSC 3: Driveway & Navy

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.1					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	<b>1</b>		٦	44	Y	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	803	116	33	402	55	30
Future Vol, veh/h	803	116	33	402	55	30
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	25	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	845	122	35	423	58	32

Major/Minor	Major1	Ν	1ajor2		Vinor1		
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	967	0	1188	484	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	906	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	282	-	
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.14	-	6.84	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.84	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.84	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.22	-	3.52	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	708	-	181	529	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	355	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	741	-	
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuve	r -	-	708	-	172	529	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuve	r -	-	-	-	172	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	355	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	705	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.8	31	
HCM LOS			D	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	226	-	-	708	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.396	-	-	0.049	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	31	-	-	10.3	-
HCM Lane LOS	D	-	-	В	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	1.8	-	-	0.2	-

# Educational Use Only

NavyDrivewayPM0319 03/19/2020 Baseline Freyja

# Exhibit 11.3.5

# HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary

3:

	٨	-	$\mathbf{r}$	1	(1920)) (1950)	•	1	Ť	1	4	Ļ	∢
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	t,		٦	1	1	٦	ef		٦	ef.	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	226	550	110	83	688	446	140	185	150	125	105	165
Future Volume (veh/h)	226	550	110	83	688	446	140	185	150	125	105	165
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	246	598	120	90	748	485	152	201	163	132	111	174
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	259	912	183	358	1128	956	212	239	194	157	164	257
Arrive On Green	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Sat Flow, veh/h	452	1512	303	734	1870	1585	1094	956	775	1018	656	1029
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	246	0	718	90	748	485	152	0	364	132	0	285
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	452	0	1816	734	1870	1585	1094	0	1731	1018	0	1685
Q Serve(g_s), s	23.0	0.0	17.7	6.2	18.0	11.9	6.6	0.0	13.6	3.4	0.0	10.4
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	41.0	0.0	17.7	23.9	18.0	11.9	17.0	0.0	13.6	17.0	0.0	10.4
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.17	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.45	1.00		0.61
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	259	0	1095	358	1128	956	212	0	433	157	0	421
V/C Ratio(X)	0.95	0.00	0.66	0.25	0.66	0.51	0.72	0.00	0.84	0.84	0.00	0.68
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	259	0	1095	358	1128	956	212	0	433	157	0	421
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	26.9	0.0	8.9	16.7	8.9	1.1	31.7	0.0	24.2	33.3	0.0	23.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	44.6	0.0	3.1	1./	3.1	1.9	18.6	0.0	1/./	39.1	0.0	8.4
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/in	7.1	0.0	6.4	1.1	b./	3.7	3.5	0.0	7.3	3.8	0.0	4.9
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/ven	74 5	0.0	44.0	40.4	40.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	44.0	70.4	0.0	04 F
LnGrp Delay(d),s/ven	/1.5	0.0	11.9	18.4	12.0	9.6	50.3	0.0	41.9	/2.4	0.0	31.5
	E	A	В	В	B	A	D	A	D	E	A	<u> </u>
Approach Vol, veh/h		964			1323			516			417	
Approach Delay, s/ven		27.1			11.6			44.4			44.4	
Approach LOS		U			В			U			D	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4		6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		22.0		46.0		22.0		46.0				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		5.0		5.0		5.0		5.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		17.0		41.0		17.0		41.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		19.0		43.0		19.0		25.9				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		0.0		0.0		0.0		7.1				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			25.7									
HCM 6th LOS			С									

### Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green. Educational Use Only

White St at Navy Ave/Buzz St  $\,$  03/03/2020 Baseline RJF

Synchro 10 Classroom Report Page 1

# Exhibit 11.3.6

# HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary

3:

03/04/2020	
------------	--

	٠		$\mathbf{r}$	•	(1926)) (1936))	•	1	Ť	1	6	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	1.		7	<b>†</b>	1	7	f,		7	1.	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	77	605	55	149	754	226	50	120	70	250	175	335
Future Volume (veh/h)	77	605	55	149	754	226	50	120	70	250	175	335
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870	1870
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	84	658	60	162	820	246	54	130	76	263	184	353
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.95
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Cap, veh/h	164	777	71	229	860	729	168	376	220	441	195	374
Arrive On Green	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34
Sat Flow, veh/h	529	1689	154	734	1870	1585	868	1107	647	1176	573	1099
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	84	0	718	162	820	246	54	0	206	263	0	537
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	529	0	1843	734	1870	1585	868	0	1754	1176	0	1672
Q Serve(g_s), s	1.9	0.0	17.2	5.8	21.1	5.0	1.4	0.0	4.4	10.8	0.0	15.6
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	23.0	0.0	17.2	23.0	21.1	5.0	17.0	0.0	4.4	15.2	0.0	15.6
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.08	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.37	1.00		0.66
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	164	0	848	229	860	729	168	0	596	441	0	569
V/C Ratio(X)	0.51	0.00	0.85	0.71	0.95	0.34	0.32	0.00	0.35	0.60	0.00	0.94
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	164	0	848	229	860	729	168	0	596	441	0	569
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	24.8	0.0	11.9	23.5	13.0	8.6	24.7	0.0	12.3	18.0	0.0	16.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	10.9	0.0	10.2	17.0	21.2	1.3	5.0	0.0	1.6	5.9	0.0	26.2
Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/In	1.4	0.0	7.8	2.9	11.8	1.6	0.8	0.0	1.7	3.3	0.0	9.1
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh	<u></u>			10 5	04.0	• •			10.0		• •	10.0
LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh	35.7	0.0	22.2	40.5	34.2	9.9	29.7	0.0	13.9	23.9	0.0	42.3
LnGrp LOS	D	<u>A</u>	C	D	C	<u>A</u>	C	<u>A</u>	В	С	<u>A</u>	D
Approach Vol, veh/h		802			1228			260			800	
Approach Delay, s/veh		23.6			30.1			17.2			36.2	
Approach LOS		С			С			В			D	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2		4		6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		22.0		28.0		22.0		28.0				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		5.0		5.0		5.0		5.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		17.0		23.0		17.0		23.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s		19.0		25.0		17.6		25.0				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0				
Intersection Summary												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay			28.9									
HCM 6th LOS			С									

### Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green. Educational Use Only

White St at Navy Ave/Buzz St  $\,$  03/03/2020 Baseline RJF

Synchro 10 Classroom Report Page 1



PRODUCED BY AN AUTODESK STUDENT VERSION





Exhbit 11.5.2









57A 106+73.77 54.85'		
STA 108+58.45 43.81'		D BY AN AUIC
′T 8 STA 111+71.62 EV 935.03'	2	
).39%		UENI VERSIO
115+00 ROAD AND MULTI	-USE PATH	
NGINEERING	DESIGNER DATE DESIGNED DRAWN CHECKED STANDARD	
GE SCHEMATIC	APPROVED DRAWING NO. 6 SHEET 6 OF 34	










BY AN AUTODESK STUDENT VERSION

PRODUCED





54.7' 56.9'
990
- 980
4:1 960
- 950
940
930
INFERING
AL CRUSS SECTION 2 DRAWING NO. 13 SHEET 13 OF 34













IGINEERING		DESIGNER	DATE
	DESIGNED		
	DRAWN		
	CHECKED		
	STANDARD		
	APPROVED		
E CROSS SECTION 1	DRAWING NO. 19		
		SHEET 19	OF 34

## CROSS SECTION AT PVC 6 STATION 98+83.36



<u> </u>
λ
0
ň
ž
Z
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$
Ш
_
ω,
-
Ъ
5
~
≻
2
5
2
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$
Π
S
x.
S
-
Ť.
Ψ.
4
<
m.
÷
~
2
Ō
¥
~

## CROSS SECTION AT STATION 99+00.00

IGINEERING		DESIGNER	DATE
	DESIGNED		
	DRAWN		
	CHECKED		
	STANDARD		
	APPROVED		
E CROSS SECTION 2	DRAWING NO. 20		
		SHEET 20	OF 34



CROSS SEC	CTIC )0+C	DN A	Г	PRODUCED BY AN AUTODESK STUDENT VERSION
GINEERING	DESIGNED DRAWN	DESIGNER	DATE	
E CROSS SECTION 3			1	
		SHEET 21	OF 34	











PRODUCED BY AN AUTODESK STUDENT VERSION

PRODUCED BY AN AUTODESK STUDENT VERSION



PRODUCED BY AN AUTODESK STUDENT VERSION





		DESIGNER	DATE
	DESIGNED		
	DRAWN		
ENGINEERING	CHECKED		
	STANDARD		
	APPROVED		
IAVY AVE AT GOLD ST	DRAWING NO. 29		
NTERSECTION			
		SHEET 29	OF 34



		DESIGNER	DATE
	DESIGNED		
NGINEERING	DRAWN		
	CHECKED		
	STANDARD		
	APPROVED		
AVENUE AT DRIVEWAY	DRAWI	NG NO. 3	0
		SHEET 30	OF 34









STUDENT VERSION

**PRODUCED BY AN AUTODESK** 

## PRODUCED BY AN AUTODESK STUDENT VERSION



ΡΕΟΟυσεα ΒΥ ΑΝ Αυτορέακ ατυρέντ νεκαιον



РООСЕР ВҮ АМ АUTODESK STUDENT VERSION

		DESIGNER	DATE
	DRAWN		
	CHECKED		
E ST RADII	DRAWI	NG NO. 3	3
		SHEET 33	OF 34



		DESIGNER	DATE
NEERING	DESIGNED		
	DRAWN		
	CHECKED		
	STANDARD		
	APPROVED		
DEX	DRAWING NO. 34		
		SHEET 34	OF 34